IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Review Application No. 36 of 2006
In
Writ Petition No. 780 of 2005 (M/B)

Girish Kumar ….. Petitioner

Versus

Director of Animal Husbandry & others. ….. Respondents

Mr. Manoj Tewari & Mr. Alok Mehra, Advocates for the petitioner.
Mr. B.D. Kandpal, Standing Counsel for respondents Nos. 1 & 2.
Mr. Manoj Shah, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Rajendra Dobhal, Advocate for respondent No. 3.
None for respondents Nos. 4 to 6.

Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble J.C.S. Rawat, J.

Mr. Manoj Tewari & Mr. Alok Mehra, Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr. B.D. Kandpal, Standing Counsel for respondents Nos. 1 & 2.

Mr. Manoj Shah, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Rajendra Dobhal, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

None for respondents Nos. 4 to 6.

2. Mr. Manoj Shah, the learned counsel for respondent No. 3 submits that in view of the fact that now admissions to B.V.Sc. and A.H. Course are being given on the basis of open merit selection and not on the basis of the nomination by the State Government as was being done at the time of filing of the writ petition on 21.07.2005 and the decision on 07.09.2005, the review petition, now, has become infructuous, as the relief sought by the petitioner in the writ petition cannot, now, be granted.

3. In this view of the matter, the review petition is dismissed as infructuous.

4. With the above order, CLMA No. 3968 of 2006 also stands disposed of.

(J.C.S. Rawat, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.)**
14.08.2006 14.08.2006

G